



## NECK CIRCUMFERENCE REFERENCE VALUES FOR APPARENTLY HEALTHY NIGERIAN ADULTS AGED 18 TO 60 YEARS

Ojoawo A. Ojo<sup>1</sup>, Adeyemi P. Temitayo<sup>1</sup>, Ibidun O. Abiodun<sup>1</sup>, Awotidebe T. Oluwaole<sup>1</sup>, Awotipe D. Ayotunde<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medical Rehabilitation Faculty of Basic Medical Sciences, College of Health Sciences, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile Ife, Nigeria

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physiotherapy, Faculty of Medical Rehabilitation, University of Medical Sciences, Ondo, Nigeria

---

### Abstract

Neck circumference (NC) is a marker of upper body subcutaneous adipose tissue distribution. Although it is commonly used in many parts of the world it appears to lack reference values as an indicator of metabolic and cardiovascular risk in the Nigerian population. A total of 2000 apparently healthy adults participated in this study. NC was measured using a non-stretchable tape between the mid-cervical spine and mid-anterior neck of each participant standing upright. In men the NC was measured just below the laryngeal prominence (Adam's apple). Data was summarized using descriptive and inferential statistics. The alpha level was set at 0.05. The Nigerian men under study had significantly higher NC values than the women ( $t = 36.986$ ,  $p = 0.001$ ). The mean values for men and women below 20 years, between 21 and 30 years, 31 and 40 years, 41 and 50 years, and 51 and 60 years old were  $37.29 \pm 1.96$  cm and  $32.45 \pm 1.84$  cm,  $37.69 \pm 2.16$  cm and  $33.12 \pm 2.52$  cm,  $37.67 \pm 2.25$  cm and  $32.46 \pm 2.24$  cm,  $38.01 \pm 2.49$  cm and  $33.36 \pm 2.67$  cm, and  $37.59 \pm 2.29$  cm and  $33.14 \pm 1.78$  cm, respectively. The 95 percentile values for men and women younger than 20 years, 31-40 years, 41-50 years, and 51-60 years were 41cm and 36 cm, 42 cm and 38 cm, 41cm and 37 cm, 43 cm and 39 cm, and 42 cm and 37 cm, respectively. Based on age-and-sex neck circumference reference values, the means and percentiles can be used as indicators of acceptable and abnormal NC values for Nigerian adults between the ages of 18 to 60 years.

**Key words:** neck circumference, reference value, adults, Nigerians

---

### Introduction

Neck circumference (NC) - a marker of upper body subcutaneous adipose tissue distribution - is a relatively new method of differentiating between normal and abnormal fat distribution. It is observed to be significantly correlated with age, body mass, waist and hip circumferences, waist-to-hip ratio, and BMI for both sexes [1]. Neck circumference is perceived as a promising tool as it is positively correlated with the upper body trunk fat, changes in systolic and diastolic blood pressure, and with other components of the metabolic syndrome [2]. NC is an accessible, non-invasive, and economical measure that remains constant during the course of the day [1]. NC measurement can also be used as a complementary anthropometric tool to

determine cardiovascular risk, and it is positively correlated with components of the metabolic syndrome and obstructive sleep apnea [3]. A study by Li et al. on Chinese adults inferred that neck circumference was significantly correlated with visceral adipose tissue as documented by CT scans [4].

Furthermore, NC is positively associated with traditional anthropometric measures of body circumferences and indices such as the body mass index (BMI, kg/m) and waist-hip ratio (WHR) as well as other physiological and biochemical measures of cardiovascular risk. The usefulness of NC has been demonstrated in some reports on relatively large groups of obese and overweight patients [1-2], and some authors found neck

circumference even superior in predicting metabolic syndrome than waist circumference measures [5]. In addition to the reported relationship between NC and cardiovascular risk factors [2] an increased neck circumference has also been observed in patients with obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) [3, 6]. The variance in OSA severity explicable by central obesity was found to be dependent on the variation in neck circumference [5, 7]. NC was also found to be a good clinical predictor of menstrual irregularity, hirsutism, infertility, insulin resistance, and polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) in obese pre-menopausal women [8].

In physiotherapy neck circumference can be used to assess obese patients who sometimes suffer from cardiovascular disorders. It can also be applied as a tool for assessing the effects of exercises on obese patients [9]. Neck circumference can be used in place of BMI and waist-hip ratio which have certain disadvantages. The BMI does not provide any indication of central adiposity or visceral fat, while waist circumference measurements vary with respiration and post prandial distension of abdomen [9].

Neck circumference has been found to be positively correlated with metabolic dysfunctions, including glucose intolerance, hyperinsulinemia, diabetes, increased very low-density lipoprotein (VLDL) production, oxidative stress, endothelial cell dysfunction, hypertension, vascular injury, and hypertriglyceridemia [10]. Evidence also reveals that neck circumference is a strong indicator of elevated serum triglycerides and low serum high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol compared to BMI and waist circumference in both sexes [11]. The association between neck fat and metabolic syndrome may be attributed to an excess release of free fatty acids into plasma from upper body subcutaneous fat [12].

Despite the usefulness and relevance of neck circumference in relation to obesity and metabolic abnormalities, its cut off values used for the Nigerian population are derived from foreign populations. Researchers have reported that ethnicity is one of determinants of adipose tissue deposit in terms of site and part of the

body [13]. There have been very few of studies on reference values of neck circumference among the general Nigerian population or any of its subsets. The used NC values are derived from foreign populations, which may not be exactly applicable in Nigeria. It is, therefore, essential to determine the reference values of neck circumference specifically for the Nigerian population, in particular, for the working population of 18-60 years of age.

## **Methods**

### *Participants*

The participants were apparently healthy male and female Nigerian adults.

### *Inclusion Criteria*

Participants who were apparently healthy adults between 18 to 60 years of age, who gave their consent to participate were eligible for the study.

### *Exclusion Criteria*

Apparently healthy adults that did not fall within the age range and did not give their consent to participate in the study.

### *Study Design*

A cross sectional design was used to determine the reference values of neck circumference.

### *Sample and Sampling Techniques*

A sample of convenience was used to recruit apparently healthy adults from Ile-Ife, Osun State, Nigeria.

### *Sample Size*

The sample size was calculated using William G. Cochran's formula [14]:

$$N = [Z^2pq] \div e^2$$

where:

Z – confidence interval, i.e. 95% confidence level (1.96);

p - estimated proportion of target population which has the attribute and is equal to 0.5;

q - 1.0-p;

e - the desired level of precision put at 0.022;

$$n = [(1.96)^2 \times (0.5) (0.5)] \div (0.022)$$

By inserting the variable in the formula n was equal to 1984, thus a total of 2000 individuals

were recruited for the study, with 400 participants in each age bracket: 18 to 20 years, 21 to 30 years, 31 to 40 years, 41 to 50 years, and 51 to 60 years.

### Site of Study

The study was carried out in the Department of Medical Rehabilitation, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Osun State, Nigeria.

### Measurement tools

The following measurement tools were used:

- Non-stretchable tailor's tape for measuring neck circumference (Goldfish Company, China);
- Stadiometer for measuring body height, consisting of a vertical graduated rod with an adjustable horizontal head plate, with the measuring range from 4.5 to 81 inches (M120, Maa International, India);
- Bathroom weighing scale calibrated in kg for measuring body mass (Laica Medical Ltd, China).

### Procedure

Ethical approval was obtained from the Health Research and Ethical Committee of the Institute of Public Health (HREC) of the College of Health Sciences of Obafemi Awolowo University in Ile Ife. The aims the study were explained to the participants and their maximum cooperation was solicited that they would not suffer adverse effects following the study. After verification of eligibility, socio-demographic and physical data such as age and sex were obtained from the participants.

### Measurements

Neck circumference (cm) was measured by placing a tape measure directly on the skin on the mid anterior neck just below the laryngeal prominence and then extended all the way

around the neck. The participants were not wearing any clothing around the neck and stood erect with the head in the Frankfort horizontal plane, with the examiner facing the participant's left side [15].

Body height (cm) was measured with the participant standing barefoot in front of the vertical rod of the stadiometer, with the head in the Frankfort horizontal plane, the arms hanging freely by the sides of the trunk, with the palms facing the thighs and the heels together. The movable horizontal head plate was then placed on the vertex of the participant's head [15].

Body mass (kg) was measured with the participant standing barefoot wearing only light clothing on the weighing scale [15].

### Calculations

Body mass index (BMI) was calculated by dividing the participant's body mass by the square of body height (kg/m<sup>2</sup>).

### Data Analysis

Data was summarized using descriptive and inferential statistics. The Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient was used to check for the relationship between neck circumference and BMI. Mean, medial, 25th, 50th, 75th and 95th percentiles were calculated. Reference values were obtained using the 95th percentile. The alpha level was set at  $p = 0.05$ .

## Results

### Participants' physical characteristics

Table 1 presents the physical characteristics of participants. The mean age, body mass, and neck circumference were  $35.57 \pm 13.66$  years,  $63.46 \pm 13.27$  kg, and  $35.31 \pm 3.30$  cm, respectively. The minimum neck circumference was 29.00 cm, while the maximum was 45.00 cm.

**Table 1.** Physical characteristics of participants (N = 2000).

Variable	Min	Max	Mean $\pm$ SD
Age (years)	18.00	50.00	31.38 $\pm$ 10.27
Body mass (kg)	37.00	88.00	60.92 $\pm$ 9.17
Body height (m)	1.40	1.99	1.65 $\pm$ 0.08
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	13.20	35.00	22.17 $\pm$ 2.82
Neck circumference (cm)	25.00	50.00	34.50 $\pm$ 2.57

Note: Min = minimum; Max = maximum; SD = standard deviation; BMI = body mass index; n = number of participants; kg = kilogram; m = meter; cm = centimeter

NECK CIRCUMFERENCE REFERENCE VALUES FOR APPARENTLY HEALTHY NIGERIAN  
ADULTS AGED 18 TO 60 YEARS

*Comparison between the physical characteristics of male and female participants*  
Table 2 shows comparisons between male and female anthropometric variables. There was a

statistically significant difference between the neck circumference of male and female participants ( $t = 36.968$ ,  $p = 0.000$ ), but no significant difference between the BMI of male and female participants ( $t = -0.963$ ,  $p = 0.336$ ).

**Table 2.** Comparison between physical characteristics of male and female participants (N = 2000) (independent t-test).

Variable	Male Mean $\pm$ SD	Female Mean $\pm$ SD	t	p
Age (years)	31.39 $\pm$ 10.27	31.34 $\pm$ 10.32	-0.108	0.914
Body mass (kg)	60.71 $\pm$ 8.98	61.10 $\pm$ 9.35	0.856	0.392
Body height (m)	1.66 $\pm$ 0.09	1.65 $\pm$ 0.08	-1.715	0.087
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	21.99 $\pm$ 2.69	22.34 $\pm$ 2.94	2.400	0.017**
NC (cm)	34.57 $\pm$ 2.54	34.46 $\pm$ 2.61	-0.825	0.409

Note: SD = standard deviation; BMI = body mass index; NC = neck circumference

*Relationship between neck circumference, age, body mass, body height, and BMI*  
Table 3 presents the relationships between neck circumference, age, body mass, body height

and BMI. There was a significant relationship between neck circumference and age ( $r = 0.192$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ), body mass ( $r = 0.676$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ), and body height ( $r = 0.535$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ).

**Table 3.** Relationships between neck circumference and age, body mass, body height, and BMI (N = 2000).

	Age	Body Mass	Body Height	NC
Body mass (kg)	0.402**			
Body height (m)	0.135**	0.530**		
NC (cm)	0.269**	0.582**	0.522**	
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	0.356**	0.733**	0.181**	-0.256**

Note: NC = neck circumference; \*\* = statistically significant at 0.001 (2 tailed), \* = statistically significant at 0.05 (2-tailed).

*Neck circumference percentile with sex and age*  
Table 4 demonstrates the 25th, 50th, 75th, and 95th percentiles of neck circumference by sex and age. The 95th percentile of male

participants between 18 and 20 years was 41.00, 21 and 30 years - 42.00, 31 and 40 years - 41.00, 41 and 50 years - 43.00, and 51 and 60 years - 42.00. The 95th percentile of total neck circumference was 41.00.

**Table 4.** Neck circumference percentiles with sex and age groups (n = 1200).

Variable (years)	Min	25 <sup>th</sup>	50 <sup>th</sup>	Median	Mean $\pm$ SD	75 <sup>th</sup>	95 <sup>th</sup>	Max
<b>Women</b>								
18-20	29.00	31.00	32.00	32.00	32.45 $\pm$ 1.84	33.75	36.00	39.00
21-30	29.00	31.00	33.00	33.00	33.12 $\pm$ 2.52	35.00	38.00	42.00
31-40	29.00	31.00	32.00	32.00	32.46 $\pm$ 2.24	33.00	37.00	42.00
41-50	29.00	32.00	33.00	33.00	33.36 $\pm$ 2.67	35.00	39.00	44.00
51-60	30.00	32.00	33.00	33.00	33.14 $\pm$ 1.78	35.00	37.00	37.00
Total	29.00	31.00	33.00	33.00	32.87 $\pm$ 2.24	34.00	37.00	44.00
<b>Men</b>								
18-20	31.00	36.00	38.00	38.00	37.29 $\pm$ 1.96	38.00	41.00	42.00
21-30	33.00	36.25	38.00	38.00	37.69 $\pm$ 2.16	39.00	42.00	44.00
31-40	31.00	36.00	38.00	38.00	37.67 $\pm$ 2.25	39.00	41.00	44.00
41-50	32.00	36.00	38.00	38.00	38.01 $\pm$ 2.49	39.00	43.00	45.00
51-60	33.00	36.00	37.00	37.00	37.59 $\pm$ 2.29	39.00	42.00	44.00
Total	31.00	36.00	38.00	38.00	37.68 $\pm$ 2.27	39.00	42.00	45.00
All participants	29.00	33.00	35.00	35.00	35.31 $\pm$ 3.29	38.00	41.00	45.00

Note: Min = minimum; Max = maximum; SD = standard deviation

## Discussion

The study provided reference values of neck circumference (NC) among apparently healthy Nigerian adults. The neck circumference in the male and female participants was found to be more than 30 cm. This is in agreement with results by Ojoawo et al. who reported that for this population, the mean neck circumference for men was more than 30 cm [16]. Another study by Onat et al. in Turkey showed the mean neck circumference of men and women to be also more than 30 cm [5].

The cut off value of neck circumference of the total population was  $\geq 41.00$  cm. The cut off value for the total female population was  $\geq 37.00$  cm, while the cut off value for the entire male population  $\geq 42.00$  cm. Oluremi et al. in their study of a US population found the cut off mark for NC in young adults to be  $\geq 38$  cm and  $\geq 33.5$  cm in men and women, respectively [17]. A study by Ben-Noun et al. revealed the NC cut off levels to be  $\geq 39.5$  cm for Israeli men and  $\geq 36.5$  cm for Israeli women with their BMI  $\geq 30$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> [1]. Chaitanya et al. in their study of an Indian population reported their cut off mark for NC to be  $\geq 36.5$  cm and  $\geq 32.5$  cm for men and women, respectively [9]. A study on an adolescent population in Brazil by Roberta et al. found the cut off mark of NC for overweight to be  $\geq 31.25$  cm and  $\geq 34.25$  cm in girls and boys, respectively; whereas the cut off values for obesity to be  $\geq 32.65$  cm and  $\geq 37.95$  cm in girls and boys, respectively [18].

The NC cut off values for women in this study in the age groups of 18 to 20 years, 21 to 30 years, 31 to 40 years, 41 to 50 years, 51 to 60 years were found to be 36.00 cm, 38.00 cm, 37.00 cm, 39.00 cm, and 37.00 cm, respectively. The cut off values for men in this study in the age groups of 18 to 20 years, 21 to 30 years, 31 to 40 years, 41 to 50 years, 51 to 60 years were 41.00 cm, 42.00 cm, 41.00 cm, 43.00 cm, and 42.00 cm, respectively. This implies that values above these cut off marks may predispose individuals to chronic non-communicable disease such as obstructive sleep apnea, obesity, diabetes, metabolic conditions, pregnancy-induced hypertension, some hormonal diseases, hypertension and other cardiovascular conditions. Ben-Noun et al. reported that neck circumference was positively correlated with

overweight and obesity [2]. Sjostrom et al. (1995) found that neck circumference was associated with cardiovascular risk factors in severely obese men and women. An increase in NC means the likelihood of risk factors for cardiovascular disease also increases [19]. Davies et al. [3] observed that variations in neck circumference were closely correlated with sleep apnea, and were a powerful physical sign of patients with obstructive sleep apnea (OSA). According to Cizza et al. neck circumference was positively associated with the severity of OSA, independently of visceral obesity, even in non-obese patients [20]. Hingorjo et al. noted that neck circumference had a strong positive correlation with other anthropometric measures of obesity and could, therefore, be a useful obesity marker [21]. Joshipura et al. found that neck circumference showed higher associations with prediabetes, low high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), triglycerides, insulin resistance, fasting plasma glucose levels, and systolic and diastolic blood pressure [22], thus considering NC to be a significant risk indicator of central obesity, metabolic conditions, and cardiovascular conditions. Ojoawo et al. found a significant correlation between neck circumference and systolic and diastolic blood pressure [16], whereas according to Dixson et al. NC was a good clinical predictor of menstrual irregularity, hirsutism, infertility, insulin resistance, and polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) in obese pre-menopausal women [8].

The findings of the present study indicate a significant correlation between neck circumference and age, body mass, body height, and the BMI. This may imply that as a person grows older, his or her neck circumference increases. This may be due to the increasing body mass or BMI with age. The neck circumference of male participants was found to be significantly longer than of female participants. Ben-Noun et al. found a strong correlation between neck circumference and age, body mass, waist circumference, waist-hip ratio and BMI in both men and women [2]. Onat et al. found positive correlations between neck circumference and age, waist circumference, waist-hip ratio, and BMI [5]. Laakso et al. reported positive correlations between neck

circumference and waist circumference, waist-hip ratio, and BMI [23]; while Chaintaya et al. noted a positive correlation between neck circumference and BMI, waist circumference, hip circumference, body mass, waist-hip ratio, body height, and systolic blood pressure [9].

### Conclusion

This study provided reference values of neck circumference among the Nigerian population measured with a non-stretchable measuring tape. There was a significant correlation between participants' neck circumference, age, body mass, body height, and BMI. The neck circumference cut off values for Nigerian men and women amounted to 42.00 cm and 37.00 cm, respectively.

### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Ben-Noun L., Laor A., Sohar E. Neck circumference as a simple screening measure for identifying overweight and obese patients. *Obesity Research* 2001; 9(8): 470–477.
2. Ben-Noun L., Laor A. Relationship between changes in neck circumference and cardiovascular risk factors. *Obesity Research* 2003; 11(2): 226–331.
3. Davies R. J., Ali N. J., Stradling J. R. Neck circumference and other clinical features in the diagnosis of obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *Thorax* 1992; 47(2): 101–105.
4. Li H-X., Zhang F., Zhao D. Neck circumference as a measure of neck fat and abdominal visceral fat in Chinese adults. *BMC Public Health* 2014; 14(1): 311.
5. Onat A., Hergenç G., Yüksel H., Can G., Ayhan E., Kaya Z., Dursunoğlu D. Neck circumference as a measure of central obesity: associations with metabolic syndrome and obstructive sleep apnea syndrome beyond waist circumference. *Clinical Nutrition* 2009; 28(1): 46–51.
6. Plywaczewski R., Bielen P., Bednarek M., Jonczak L., Górecka D., Sliwinski P. Influence of neck circumference and body mass index on obstructive sleep apnea severity in males. *Pneumonologia i Alergologia Polska* 2008; 76(5): 313–320.
7. Sharma S. K., Kurian S., Malik V. A stepped approach for prediction of obstructive sleep apnea in overtly asymptomatic obese subjects: a hospital-based study. *Sleep Medicine* 2004; 5: 509–514.
8. Dixon J. B., O'Brien P. E. Neck circumference a good predictor of raised insulin and free androgen index in obese and premenopausal women: changes with weight loss. *Clinical Endocrinology* 2002; 57(6): 769–778.
9. Chaitanya P. Neck circumference: A novel anthropometric tool for screening obesity in adults. *International Journal of Collaborative Research on Internal Medicine & Public Health* 2017; 9(7): 25.
10. Kissebah A. H., Vydelingu N., Murray R., Evans D. J., Harts A. J., Kalkhoff R. K. Relation of body fat distribution to metabolic complications of obesity. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism* 1982; 54: 254–260.
11. Vallianou N. G., Evangelopoulos A. A., Bountziouka V., Vogiatzakis E. D., Bonou M. S., Barbetseas J., Avgerinos P. C., Panagiotakos D. B. Neck circumference is correlated with triglycerides and inversely related with HDL cholesterol beyond BMI and waist circumference. *Diabetes/Metabolism Research and Reviews* 2013; 29: 90–97.
12. Preis S. R., Massaro J. M., Hoffman U. Neck circumference as a novel measure of cardiometabolic risk: The Framingham Heart Study. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2010; 9(8): 3701–3710.

13. Eastwood S. V., Tillin T., Dehbi H. M., Wright A., Forouhi N. G., Godsland I., Whincup P., Sattar N., Hughes A. D., Chaturvedi N. Ethnic differences in associations between fat deposition and incident diabetes and underlying mechanisms: the SABRE study. *Obesity* 2015; 23(3): 699–706.
14. Cochran W. G. *Sampling Techniques* (Third ed.). New York: Wiley&Sons, 1977.
15. Lohman T. G., Roche A. F., Martorell R. *Anthropometric Standardization Reference Manual Textbook*. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics Books, 1988: 41–47.
16. Ojoawo A. O., Akinola O. T., Abuila O. B., Arayombo B. E. Selected body circumferences and cardiovascular parameters among a Nigerian community. *International Journal of Medical Reviews and Case Reports* 2020; 4(12): 8–15.
17. Oluremi A. F., Makenzie L. B., Sarah E. C. Neck circumference positively relates to cardiovascular risk factor in college students. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 2018; 15: 1480.
18. Ferretti Rde L., Cintra Ide P., Passos M. A., de Moraes Ferrari G. L., Fisberg M. Elevated neck circumference and associated factors in adolescents. *BMC Public Health* 2015; 15: 208.
19. Sjostrom C. D., Hakangard A. C., Lissner L., Sjostrom L. Body compartment and subcutaneous adipose distribution - risk factor patterns in obese subjects. *Obesity Research* 1995; 3(1): 9–22.
20. Cizza G., de Jonge L., Piaggi P., Mattingly M., Zhao X., Lucassen E., Rother K. I., Sumner A. E., Csako G., NIDDK Sleep Extension Study. Neck circumference is a predictor of metabolic syndrome and obstructive sleep apnea in short-sleeping obese men and women. *Metabolic Syndrome and Related Disorders* 2014; 12(4): 231–241.
21. Hingorjo M. R., Qureshi M. A., Mehdi A. Neck circumference as a useful marker of obesity: A comparison with body mass index and waist circumference. *The Journal of the Pakistan Medical Association* 2012; 62(1): 36–40.
22. Joshipura K., Muñoz-Torres F., Vergara J., Palacios C., Pérez C. M. Neck Circumference may be a better alternative to standard anthropometric measures. *Journal of Diabetes Research* 2016: 6058916.
23. Laakso M., Matilainen V., Keinänen-Kiukaanniemi S. Association of neck circumference with insulin resistance-related factors. *International Journal of Obesity and Related Metabolic Disorders* 2002; 26(6): 873–875.

**Received:** June 2022

**Accepted:** October 2022

**Published:** December 2022



## CORRESPONDENCE

**Ojoawo Adesola Ojo**

Department of Medical Rehabilitation Faculty of Basic Medical Sciences, College of Health Sciences,  
Obafemi Awolowo University  
Ile Ife, Nigeria  
E-mail: aoojoawo@yahoo.com

*This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited*

